

Balaguer, Mark

03003

Reply to Dieterle.

*Philos. Math.* (3) 8, No. 3, 310-315 (2000).

From the abstract: "Dieterle argues that because I reject the notion of metaphysical necessity, I cannot rely upon the notion of supervenience, as I in fact do in two places in the book [*M. Balaguer, Platonism and anti-Platonism in mathematics*. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press (1998; Zbl 927.03006)]. I argue that Dieterle is mistaken about this by showing that neither of the two supervenience theses that I endorse requires a notion of metaphysical necessity".

*Alejandro GarciaDiego (México)*.